



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/706,217

11/12/2003

Kevin P. Rogan

SF053001

6318

7590

02/26/2009

Xin Wen  
2800 Bridge Parkway  
Redwood City, CA 94065

EXAMINER

RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/26/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/706,217	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROGAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Xin Wen (53,758). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 35-59.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discuss the proposed amendment to the claims in response to the final rejection mailed on 12/31/2008 and by the substantial changes proposed in the claims, applicant's representative has informed of the intention of filing a Request ofr Consideration after final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lennin R Rodriguez/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	
---	--